Behavioral/Systems/Cognitive

Dissociation of Extinction and Behavioral Disinhibition: The Role of NMDA Receptors in the Pigeon Associative Forebrain during Extinction

Silke Lissek and Onur Güntürkün

Institute for Cognitive Neuroscience, Department of Biopsychology, Faculty of Psychology, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, 44780 Bochum, Germany

Extinction is a unique learning process that requires the alteration of stimulus–response associations such that the organism ceases to respond to a previously rewarded stimulus. Extinction is mostly studied with fear conditioning and is impaired by lesions of the prefrontal cortex as well as by blockade of NMDA receptors in the amygdala. Because previous tasks could not clearly disambiguate extinction from behavioral disinhibition, the underlying process was difficult to define. In this study, we examined the possible role of NMDA receptors and the pigeon "prefrontal cortex," the neostriatum caudolaterale (NCL), for extinction of appetitive instrumental conditioning. We used a new design that discerns extinction from behavioral disinhibition. Our results demonstrate that NCL lesions cause deficits neither in extinction learning nor in extinction recall. However, blockade of NMDA receptors in the pigeon NCL by DL-AP-5 drastically impairs extinction learning without producing behavioral disinhibition or deficits in extinction recall. We suggest that NMDA receptors in the NCL contribute to the establishment of a learning process that selectively signals the change in value of the instrumental stimulus. Although NCL plays a key role for extinction learning, other structures can subsume similar functions after postlesional regeneration.

Key words: NMDA receptor; prefrontal cortex; learning; extinction; avian; behavioral disinhibition; DL-AP-5

Introduction

Learning pertains not only to the acquisition of new associations, but also to rearrangements of existing ones. Altering previously acquired associations usually involves extinction learning. Results from lesion experiments in mammals point to the involvement of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and amygdala in the extinction of conditioned responses: in macaques, the extinction of appetitive instrumental conditioning is retarded by frontal cortex lesions (Butter, 1969; Jones and Mishkin, 1972). In rats, the maturation of PFC regions is necessary for instrumental extinction learning (Nair et al., 2001). Extinction of classically conditioned fear responses is sometimes found to be impaired (Morgan et al., 1993; Quirk et al., 1998) or unimpaired (Gewirtz et al., 1997; Morgan & LeDoux, 1999; Quirk et al., 2000) by PFC lesions in rats, but in any case seems to require amygdalar processes. The role of the amygdala in extinction was investigated mainly with regard to NMDA receptors, using local or systemic injections of a NMDA antagonist in classical fear conditioning paradigms. Local NMDA receptor blockade in the amygdala blocks extinction learning in rats (Falls et al., 1992; Davis, 2002; Walker & Davis, 2002). The systemic injection of a NMDA receptor antagonist can

Copyright © 2003 Society for Neuroscience 0270-6474/03/238119-06\$15.00/0

have the same effect (Baker & Azorlosa, 1996) or specifically impairs only extinction recall (Santini et al., 2001).

To our knowledge, the specific role of NMDA receptors in PFC for extinction learning has not been examined, and our question was whether the results of these fear-conditioning experiments are specific for aversive stimulation or whether NMDA-dependent processes are also implicated in the extinction of appetitive instrumental conditioning. However, in a fear extinction paradigm, two variables are confounded: resumption of a previously suppressed instrumental behavior after fear extinction may be attributable to mere behavioral disinhibition and not necessarily to associative changes regarding the conditioned stimulus. Therefore, impaired extinction of instrumental responses in a non-aversive extinction paradigm might as well be attributable either to a general behavioral disinhibition or to deficits in the acquisition of extinction learning. Therefore, we devised a special extinction task that enabled us to measure and analyze each of these two aspects independently.

Our animal model is the "prefrontal cortex" of the pigeon: the neostriatum caudolaterale (NCL). The NCL is a forebrain area in birds that is considered a functional equivalent to mammalian PFC because of multiple converging evidence from behavioral (Mogensen and Divac, 1982, 1993; Gagliardo et al., 1996, 1997; Güntürkün, 1997; Diekamp et al., 2001, 2002), electrophysiological (Kalt et al., 1999), and neuroanatomical (Divac et al., 1994; Wynne & Güntürkün, 1995; Leutgeb et al., 1996; Metzger et al., 1998; Kröner & Güntürkün, 1999) data.

In a previous study, local injections of the NMDA receptor antagonist DL-AP-5 into the NCL during color reversal learning

Received April 21, 2003; revised July 10, 2003; accepted July 11, 2003.

This work was supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grant Gu 227/5. The methods used in this experiment comply with the specifications of the German law for the prevention of cruelty to animals.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Silke Lissek, Institute for Cognitive Neuroscience, Department of Biopsychology, Faculty of Psychology, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstrasse 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany. E-mail: silke.lissek@ruhr-uni-bochum.de.

had revealed a perseveration on the old S+ combined with an unimpaired first-time acquisition of the new S+ (Lissek et al., 2002). These data suggested that not acquisition of the new instrumental stimulus, but the extinction of the old, previously rewarded response, was adversely affected by the NMDA receptor blockade. However, this study was also unable to clarify if the deficits were attributable to impaired acquisition of extinction learning or to behavioral disinhibition. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the role of NMDA receptors in the NCL during the extinction of an instrumental response, using a paradigm enabling the dissociation of these two processes.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Subjects were 24 unsexed and experimentally naive pigeons (*Columba livia*), obtained from local breeders. All animals were individually caged in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room on a 12 hr light/dark schedule. During experiments, they were maintained at 80% of their free-feeding weight and received water and grit *ad libitum*.

Apparatus. A conventional Skinner box (36 cm long \times 34 cm high \times 36 cm wide) was used for training and experiments. The Skinner box was equipped with one pecking key and a solenoid-operated food hopper in the back wall and was computer-controlled by means of a digital input/ output board. On the pecking key (2.5 cm in diameter and situated in the center of the wall), white light was displayed during autoshaping, and red or green light was displayed for training and experimental sessions of the extinction task. The Skinner box was illuminated by a house light.

Pretraining and color discrimination task. After an autoshaping procedure, in which pigeons acquired the association between responding to a single pecking key illuminated by white light and subsequent food reward, pigeons were trained in a color discrimination task, which we designed to separate the effects of disinhibition and extinction in the experimental sessions: In each training trial, pigeons were confronted with a single pecking key displaying first the color red for 30 sec, then the color green for 5 sec. Pecking on the green key yielded 2 sec access to the food tray after a delay of 1 sec, whereas pecking on the red key had no effect at all. So there was one stimulus for which responding was never rewarded (red), and a second stimulus for which responding was always rewarded (green). The rewarded green stimulus was later to become the extinction stimulus, whereas the red light served as a measure for behavioral disinhibition during extinction. A training session lasted 80 trials; the learning criterion was set to 80% correct responses in each of three subsequent sessions. After reaching a learning criterion, pigeons were randomly assigned to three treatment groups: a NMDA antagonist group (AP-5, n = 10), an NCL lesion group (LES, n = 6), and a saline control group (SAL, n = 8).

Surgery. For surgery, pigeons were anesthetized with ketamine-rompun (40 mg/kg and 8 mg/kg, respectively, i.m.).

Implantation of guide cannulas: AP-5 and SAL group. Aiming at the NCL, two stainless steel cannulas per hemisphere were vertically inserted under stereotaxical guidance (Karten and Hodos, 1967) to reach the following coordinates: anterior (A), 5.25; lateral (L), 5.00; and A 5.25; L 7.50. Cannulas were inserted to 1 mm below the brain surface and were secured with dental acrylic.

Electrolytic lesions: LES group. Tungsten electrodes (0.2 mm in diameter) insulated to within 0.5 mm of the tip were lowered to the following coordinates (Karten and Hodos, 1967): A 4.00, L 5.00 and 6.50; A 5.00, L 4.50, 6.00, and 7.50; and A 7.00, L 5.00, 6.50, and 7.75. Each lesion was made by lowering the tip of the electrode 1.5 mm below the brain surface and passing 25 mA of anodal current (positive electrode in brain) for 10 sec.

After 5–6 d of recovery for the AP-5 and SAL groups and 7–10 d for the LES group, pigeons were tested for retention of the color-discrimination task (criterion: a minimum of 80% correct responses in the retention session).

Extinction procedure. Three extinction sessions were conducted: one initial and two recall sessions. The last ones were used to control the memory for the previously acquired extinction. These three sessions were performed on three successive days, with each session lasting 220 trials.

The trials were identical to the color-discrimination task, with the one exception that responding to the green key did not result in reinforcement or in any other consequences.

Immediately before each of the extinction sessions, subjects belonging to the AP-5 group received bilateral infusions of the competitive NMDA receptor antagonist DL-AP-5 locally into the NCL. AP-5 was dissolved in saline solution (total volume, 2 μ l, containing 10 μ g of DL-AP-5; 0.5 μ l, i.e., 2.5 μ g of DL-AP-5 per cannula). Infusions were made through interior cannulas protruding 1 mm from the tip of the guide cannulas into the brain tissue. We used a microinfusion pump equipped with two 1 μ l Hamilton (Reno, NV) syringes to deliver the volume at a flow rate of 0.2 μ l/min. Afterward, the infusion cannulas remained in place for another 2 min to allow for diffusion of the infused volume. To infuse through all four cannulas, we performed this procedure twice. Subjects belonging to the SAL group were submitted to the same procedure, receiving vehicle (saline solution) only. Immediately after the infusion procedure, which took \sim 12–15 min, the pigeons had to perform the task. All pigeons of these two groups received three infusions of either AP-5 or vehicle during this study. Subjects belonging to the LES group did not receive any additional treatment before being submitted to the extinction sessions.

Histology. To enable reconstruction of the locations of the guide cannulas as well as of the lesion volume, we perfused the pigeons intracardially with 0.9% (w/v) saline (40°C) and a 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde solution (4°C). The brains were removed, postfixed, and cut into 40 μ m frontal slices on a freezing microtome. After staining the slices with cresyl violet, the positions of the cannula tips as well as the lesions were reconstructed at intervals of 500 μ m from A 4.00 to A 9.00 and transferred onto standard sections from the pigeon brain atlas (Karten and Hodos, 1967).

Statistical analyses. During training and extinction sessions, we collected the following behavioral data: responses to the green key and responses to the red key. Responses to the green key (i.e., extinction performance) of the three groups were compared by means of ANOVA, followed by a Bonferroni *post hoc* test. From responses to the green and red keys during training compared with extinction we calculated pre/post response ratios for both keys and each subject. Those ratios were again compared by means of ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni *post hoc* test, if applicable.

Results

Histology

All cannula injection sites were located within the NCL. Eighty percent of the sites were located within a range of ± 0.5 mm from A 5.25. The remaining 20% were situated in a range of ± 1 mm from A 5.25 (Fig. 1*A*). Bilateral lesions were located within the NCL, in a range from A 4.5 up to A 8.5. Figure 1*B*,*C* shows the lesioned brain areas for minimum lesions [i.e., areas where lesions in at least two subjects overlap (*B*)] and maximum lesions [i.e., areas covered by lesions from all subjects together (*C*)].

Retention session

In the retention session, all animals reached the criterion of a minimum of 80% correct responses and participated in the following experimental sessions.

Extinction learning (session 1)

Responses to the green key

Decreased responding to the green key was the measure for successful extinction: responding to this formerly rewarded stimulus is supposed to cease after a few trials of non-reinforcement. Both the SAL and LES groups showed rapid extinction of the instrumental response with a mean of 37.16 (LES) responses and 37.12 (SAL) responses during the complete session lasting 220 trials, whereas the AP-5 group showed a mean of 93.3 responses.

The univariate ANOVA showed a highly significant effect of group ($F_{(2)} = 11.771; p < 0.001$) (Fig. 2*A*). A Bonferroni *post hoc* test revealed a significant difference between the AP-5 group and



Figure 1. Injection sites and lesion locations. *A*, Schematic frontal sections of the pigeon brain showing the injection sites for AP-5 and saline solution. Dots represent the lower tips of the cannulas; numbers represent the distance (in millimeters) anterior to the center of the ear bars; boldface indicates the frontal plane level at which cannulas were aimed. The NCL area according to Waldmann and Güntürkün (1993) is depicted in light gray. *B*, Schematic frontal sections of the pigeon brain showing in black the minimum lesion volumes (i.e., areas where lesions in at least two subjects overlap). *C*, Schematic frontal sections of the pigeon brain showing in black the maximum lesion volumes (i.e., areas covered by lesions from all subjects together). This figure was adapted from graphs in *Stereotaxic Atlas of the Brain of the Pigeon* (Karten and Hodos, 1967).

both the SAL group (p < .001) and the LES group (p < 0.01). The SAL and LES groups did not differ in their responding to the green key during extinction.

Responses to the red key: comparison with presurgery level

Increased responding to the red key (compared with the training level) was the measure for disinhibition, for which we calculated a pre/post pecking ratio. The "pre" value was constituted by the sum of all pecks onto the red key during the last five training



Figure 2. Responses during extinction. *A*, Mean \pm SEM responses to the green key during the extinction session made by AP-5-treated (solid columns), lesioned (hatched columns), and vehicle-treated (open columns) pigeons. *B*, Means \pm SEM values for the pre/post response ratio to the green (solid bars) and red (hatched bars) keys, respectively.



Figure 3. Extinction curves from all three groups. Mean response values to the green key per bin of 10 trials each.

sessions (5 \times 80 = 400 trials), the post value was constituted by the sum of all pecks onto the red key during the extinction session of 220 trials. These values were recalculated to responses per 100 trials, and the ratio was calculated according to the formula:



Figure 4. Pecking behavior. Mean pecking responses onto the red and the green key during the extinction session in bins of 10 trials each, shown separately for each experimental group: A, AP-5 group. B, SAL group. C, LES group. Filled circles, Pecks onto the green key; open triangles, pecks onto the red key.

post – pre/post + pre. No change in pecking behavior would yield a result of 0, increased responses in the extinction session results in positive values, decreased responding during extinction in negative values. All three groups showed only a slight reduction of pecking behavior on the red key (Fig. 2*B*). ANOVA did not reveal significant differences in pre/post ratios between groups ($F_{(2)} = 0.730$; *p* not significant). This result shows that there was no general behavioral disinhibition in any of the groups that could have caused extinction deficits.

Pre/post Ratio for the Green Key

According to the same formula, we calculated a pre/post ratio for the green key, which gave a significant group effect ($F_{(2)} = 9.614$; p < 0.001). Although the SAL and LES groups both showed a considerable reduction in responding to the green key, AP-5 animals exhibited the smallest reduction, reflecting their perseverative responding to the green key (Fig. 2*B*).

A Bonferroni *post hoc* test showed a significant difference only between the AP-5 and LES groups (p < 0.01) and the AP-5 and SAL groups (p < 0.01), but not between the SAL and LES groups.

Extinction curves

Figure 3 shows combined extinction curves for the AP-5, saline, and lesion groups. We calculated these extinction curves by pooling response data from 10 trials each during the extinction session for each group, resulting in 22 data points in time for the complete session with a maximum value of 10 and a minimum of 0 responses during 10 trials. The figure illustrates the differences in the course of extinction between the SAL and LES groups on the one hand and the AP-5 group on the other hand. The individual extinction curves, from which we derived the pooled data (data not shown), demonstrate that all subjects started their responding at a very high level of 8-10 responses in 10 trials. But only in the SAL and LES groups did the continuous experience of non-reinforcement result in a fast drop in their responding to 1-2 responses in 10 trials after \sim 40 trials, whereas the AP-5 group showed this decrease only after \sim 160 trials.

Although the combined curve may give the impression that the AP-5 group started at a lower response level than the remaining two groups, this is because some of the subjects started responding only after some trials had passed unattended. This effect, although occurring in all groups, was most prominent in the AP-5 group.

Pecking behavior onto the red key

Figure 4 shows the pecking behavior onto the red key over the extinction session separately for all three groups; for comparison



Figure 5. Responses during recall of extinction. Mean \pm SEM responses of the three groups to the green key during the recall of extinction sessions. Session 2, Solid bars; session 3, hatched bars.

purposes the pecking behavior onto the green key is also plotted. Response data from 10 trials were pooled, every individual peck during these 10 trials was counted, resulting in a total of 22 data points in time for each color for the complete session. An univariate ANOVA showed that the pecking behavior onto the red key did not differ between groups ($F_{(2)} = 0.708$; *p* not significant). Furthermore, there was no systematic relation between lesion extent and red key pecking behavior.

Recall of extinction (sessions 2 and 3)

Responses to the green key

An ANOVA with repeated measures for the extinction recall sessions 2 and 3 revealed no significant differences between the performance of the three groups ($F_{(2)} = 0.567$; *p* not significant). The effect of session was also not significant ($F_{(1)} = 3.112$; p = 0.092, although there was a tendency toward fewer responses in session 3 compared with session 2. This trend was particularly clear in the LES group. In general, NMDA receptor blockade did not impair the recall of a previously acquired extinction. This implies that the delayed acquisition in extinction session 1 did not impair consolidation (Fig. 5).

Discussion

The main results of this study are: (1) Blocking of NMDA receptors in NCL results in severe deficits in extinction of an instrumental response, whereas recall of a learned extinction remains unimpaired. (2) The extinction learning deficit in AP-5 subjects is not caused by a general behavioral disinhibition. (3) On the other hand, lesioning the NCL causes deficits neither in extinction learning nor in extinction recall.

Dissociating disinhibition and deficits of extinction

The present results show that the extinction of an instrumental response was impaired after NMDA receptor blockade because AP-5 subjects continued to respond to the previously rewarded stimulus despite the fact that no reinforcement was obtained anymore. This perseverative behavior has been observed in experimental animals after PFC lesions (Butter, 1969, Jones and Mishkin, 1972, Dias et al., 1996; Collins et al., 1998), and after dopamine D₁ and NMDA receptor blockade in the pigeon NCL (Diekamp et al., 2002; Lissek et al., 2002). It is also a typical symptom of prefrontal dysfunctions in humans (Milner, 1964; Fuster, 1989; Vilki, 1989; Rolls et al., 1994). Although perseveration is often considered to result from a lack of behavioral inhibition (Rolls et al., 1994; Hauser, 1999), in most tasks a disambiguation of disinhibition and impaired extinction learning is not possible. However, this was permitted by the experimental design of our study.

Therefore, our results enable us to show that the extinction learning deficit in AP-5 subjects is not caused by behavioral disinhibition: although responding to the previously rewarded stimulus (green) was continued, there was even a slight reduction in responding to the never-rewarded stimulus (red). The collapsed extinction curves of the three groups (Fig. 3) clearly illustrate the differences: saline and lesion groups reduced their responding to the no-longer-rewarded stimulus after only a few trials, whereas AP-5 animals continued to respond at a very high level for much longer, until finally they too decreased their responding. In addition, the differential responses to the green and the red stimulus reveal that the blockade of NMDA receptors did not impair longterm memory retrieval of the properties of the red key. Instead, the impairment was selective for uncoupling either the association between the formerly rewarded stimulus and the learned response or for altering a representation of the incentive value of the green key (Schoenbaum et al., 2002). Indeed, single unit properties of the NCL reveal response patterns tuned to the representation of relevant stimuli and responses as well as to the evaluation and anticipation of reward (Kalt et al., 1999; Diekamp et al., 2002).

This is consistent with studies showing that the establishment of long-term memory for extinction involves an activation of NMDA receptors (Santini et al., 2001). A recent study demonstrated that the constitution of extinction memory results in cellular prefrontal activations correlated with the recall of extinction (Milad and Quirk, 2002). This result strongly argues for the notion that extinction is not caused by the erasure of an association, but instead it forms a new engram. Milad and Quirk (2002) assume that the neurons constituting this memory trace might indirectly inhibit amygdalar processes that modulate freezing behavior. Our results possibly extend this interpretation because they make it likely that a selective NMDA-dependent acquisition of extinction is also able to alter an appetitive instrumental paradigm, in which extinction is accompanied by a reduction of an instrumental response and not, as in fear conditioning, by an increase.

AP-5 injections did not interfere with the recall of a finally acquired extinction. Thus, once NMDA-dependent synaptic rearrangements accompanying extinction are established, an ignition of the altered assemblies does not depend on an activation of NMDA receptors. A similar dissociation between learning and recall with respect to the effects of NMDA receptor antagonists in various brain regions has been observed in a number of studies using a variety of learning paradigms (Morris, 1989; Miserendino et al., 1990; Shapiro and Caramanos, 1990; Campeau et al., 1992; Xu & Davis, 1992).

Unimpaired extinction and recall after NCL lesions

Lesioning the NCL caused deficits neither in extinction learning nor in extinction recall. Subjects whose NCL had virtually vanished showed extinction at a rate comparable to unimpaired controls and were also statistically indistinguishable in terms of extinction recall. One reason for the differential effects of lesions and receptor blockades could be that the area covered by the lesions was smaller than the effective range of the receptor antagonist. In a pilot study, the spread of AP-5 was evaluated by injecting into the NCL 0.5 μ l of the fluorescent tracer rhodamine isothiocyanate, known for its wide diffusion area, resulting in an average spread of 1 mm in diameter around the tip of the cannula. Therefore, infusions of this volume through guide cannulas on positions L 5.00 and L 7.50 should cover the lateral-medial range of the NCL, but diffusion should extend neither anteriorly and posteriorly beyond the NCL area nor into the adjacent ventricle (for a detailed description, see Lissek et al., 2002). Thus, the presumed spread of the NMDA antagonist AP-5 is not likely to extend beyond the range covered by the lesions in our subjects, rendering the above explanation for differential effects unlikely.

A possible solution for the lack of postlesional deficits is the assumption that although extinction learning importantly encompasses NCL processes, it can in principle also be mediated by other structures. This is consistent with speculations that prefrontal functions are subsumed by other structures with practice and suggests that these systems operate in parallel with NCL (Miller, 2000; Schoenbaum et al., 2002). In the case of NCL lesions, brain regeneration processes that are launched in the recovery period of 7–10 d after the lesion would enable subjects to solve the task despite a lesioned NCL. However, a blockade of NMDA receptors within the NCL during extinction learning represents an experimental intervention that takes place before the forebrain areas outside NCL had the possibility to overtake prefrontal functions. As a result, extinction learning is seriously perturbed during the effective time period of the antagonist. Thus, we assume a shift of functions to other areas in the postlesional days to be the main reason for the counterintuitive result that NCL lesions produce fewer deficits than NMDA receptor blockades. This view is consistent with literature showing seemingly contrasting effects of prefrontal lesions on extinction: Although a study with primates finds extinction deficits of an instrumental response after lesioning the PFC (Butter, 1969), other experiments report unimpaired extinction of conditioned emotional responses after PFC lesions (Gewirtz et al., 1997; Morgan and LeDoux, 1999). Moreover, some reports even reveal dissociations between the effects of lesions and receptor blockades in the same area (Wolf et al., 1995). Thus, prefrontal areas might be critical to learn the extinction of previously rewarded associations. However, their function is replaceable by other systems if time for neuronal reorganization is provided.

In summary, the findings of this study demonstrate for the first time that NMDA receptors in the NCL, an associative area in the avian forebrain that is functionally equivalent to the mammalian PFC, are involved in extinction learning of an instrumental response. The perseveration behavior that occurred after NMDA receptor blockade was not caused by a general behavioral disinhibition, but rather by a deficit in acquiring extinction learning. We conclude that the activation of NMDA receptors in the avian "prefrontal cortex" is a necessary prerequisite for the establishment of a memory trace that enables extinction behavior.

References

- Baker JD, Azorlosa JL (1996) The NMDA antagonist MK-801 blocks the extinction of Pavlovian fear conditioning. Behav Neurosci 110:618–620.
- Butter CM (1969) Perseveration in extinction and in discriminative reversal tasks following selective frontal ablations in *Macaca mulatta*. Physiol Behav 4:163–171.
- Campeau S, Miserendino MJD, Davis M (1992) Intra-amygdala infusion of the *N*-methyl-D-Aspartate receptor antagonist AP5 blocks acquisition but not expression of fear-potentiated startle to an auditory conditioned stimulus. Behav Neurosci 106:569–574.
- Collins P, Roberts AC, Dias R, Everitt BJ, Robbins TW (1998) Perseveration and strategy in a novel spatial self-ordered sequencing task for nonhuman primates: effects of excitotoxic lesions and dopamine depletions of the prefrontal cortex. J Cogn Neurosci 10:332–354.
- Davis M (2002) Role of NMDA receptors and MAP kinase in the amygdala in extinction of fear: clinical implications for exposure therapy. Eur J Neurosci 16:395–398.
- Dias R, Robbins TW, Roberts AC (1996) Primate analogue of the Wisconsin card sorting test: effects of excitotoxic lesions of the prefrontal cortex in the marmoset. Behav Neurosci 110:872–886.
- Diekamp B, Kalt T, Ruhm A, Koch M, Güntürkün O (2001) Impairment in a discrimination reversal task after D1 receptor blockade in the pigeon "prefrontal cortex." Behav Neurosci 114:1145–1155.
- Diekamp B, Gagliardo A, Güntürkün O (2002) Nonspatial and subdivisionspecific working memory deficits after selective lesions of the avian prefrontal cortex. J Neurosci 22:9573–9580.
- Divac I, Thibault J, Skageberg G, Palacios JM, Dietl MM (1994) Dopaminergic innervation of the brain in pigeons: the presumed "prefrontal cortex." Acta Neurobiol Exp 54:227–234.
- Falls WA, Miserendino MJ, Davis M (1992) Extinction of fear-potentiated startle: blockade by infusion of an NMDA antagonist into the amygdala. J Neurosci 12:854–863.
- Fuster JM (1989) The prefrontal cortex: anatomy, physiology and neuropsychology of the frontal lobe, ed 2. New York, Raven.
- Gagliardo A, Bonadonna F, Divac I (1996) Behavioral effects of ablations of the presumed "prefrontal cortex" or the corticoid in pigeons. Behav Brain Res 78:155–162.
- Gagliardo A, Mazzotto M, Divac I (1997) Memory of radial maze behavior in pigeons after ablations of the presumed equivalent of mammalian prefrontal cortex. Behav Neurosci 111:955–962.
- Gewirtz J, Falls WA, Davis M (1997) Normal conditioned inhibition and extinction of freezing and fear-potentiated startle following electrolytic lesions of medial prefrontal cortex in rats. Behav Neurosci 111:712–726.
- Güntürkün O (1997) Cognitive impairments after lesions of the neostriatum caudolaterale and its thalamic afferents in pigeons: functional similarities to the mammalian prefrontal system? J Brain Res 38:133–143.
- Hauser MD (1999) Perseveration, inhibition and the prefrontal cortex: a new look. Curr Opin Neurobiol 9:214–222.
- Jones B, Mishkin M (1972) Limbic lesions and the problem of stimulusreinforcement associations. Exp Neurol 36:362–377.
- Kalt T, Diekamp B, Güntürkün O (1999) Single-unit activity during a go/ no-go task in the "prefrontal cortex" of pigeons. Brain Res 839:263–278.
- Karten HJ, Hodos W (1967) Stereotaxic atlas of the brain of the pigeon (*Columba livia*). Baltimore: Johns Hopkins UP.
- Kröner S, Güntürkün O (1999) Afferent and efferent connections of the caudolateral neostriatum in the pigeon (*Columba livia*): a retro- and anterograde pathway tracing study. J Comp Neurol 407:228–260.
- Leutgeb S, Husband S, Riters LV, Shimizu T, Bingman VP (1996) Telencephalic afferents to the caudolateral neostriatum of the pigeon. Brain Res 730:173–181.
- Lissek S, Diekamp B, Güntürkün O (2002) Impaired learning of a color reversal task after NMDA receptor blockade in the pigeon (*Columba livia*) associative forebrain (neostriatum caudolaterale). Behav Neurosci 116:523–529.

- Metzger M, Jiang S, Braun K (1998) Organisation of the dorsocaudal neostriatal complex: a retrograde and anterograde tracing study in the domestic chick with special emphasis on pathways relevant to imprinting. J Comp Neurol 395:380–404.
- Milad MR, Quirk GJ (2002) Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear extinction. Nature 420:70–74.
- Miller EK (2000) The prefrontal cortex and cognitive control. Nat Rev Neurosci 1:59–65.
- Milner B (1964) Some effects of frontal lobectomy in man. In: The frontal granular cortex and behavior (Warren JM, Akert K, eds): New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Miserendino MJ, Sananes CB, Melia KR, Davis M (1990) Blocking of acquisition but not expression of conditioned fear-potentiated startle by NMDA-antagonists in the amygdala. Nature 345:716–718.
- Mogensen J, Divac I (1982) The prefrontal "cortex" in the pigeon: behavioral evidence. Brain Behav Evol 21:60–66.
- Mogensen J, Divac I (1993) Behavioural effects of the ablation of the pigeonequivalent of the mammalian prefrontal cortex. Behav Brain Res 55:101–107. Morgan MA, LeDoux JE (1999) Contribution of ventrolateral prefrontal cortex to the acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear in rats. Neurobiol Learn Mem 72:244–251.
- Morgan MA, Romanski LM, LeDoux JE (1993) Extinction of emotional learning: contribution of medial prefrontal cortex. Neurosci Lett 163:109–113.
- Morris RGM (1989) Synaptic plasticity and learning: selective impairments of learning in rats and blockade of long-term potentiation in vivo by the *N*-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist AP5. J Neurosci 9:3040–3057.
- Nair HP, Berndt JD, Barrett D, Gonzalez-Lima F (2001) Maturation of extinction behavior in infant rats: large-scale regional interactions with medial prefrontal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex. J Neurosci 21:4400–4407.
- Quirk GJ, Kohanski GJ, Ayala O (1998) Lesions of medial prefrontal cortex retard extinction of fear conditioning between sessions, but not within a session. Soc Neurosci Abstr 24:1683.
- Quirk GJ, Russo GK, Barron JL, Lebron K (2000) The role of ventromedial prefrontal cortex in the recovery of extinguished fear. J Neurosci 20:6225–6231.
- Rolls ET, Hornak J, Wade D, McGrath J (1994) Emotion-related learning in patients with social and emotional changes associated with frontal lobe damage. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 57:1518–1524.
- Santini E, Muller RU, Quirk GJ (2001) Consolidation of extinction learning involves transfer from NMDA-independent to NMDA-dependent memory. J Neurosci 21:9009–9017.
- Schoenbaum G, Nugent SL, Saddoris MP, Setlow B (2002) Orbitofrontal lesions in rats impair reversal but not acquisition of go, no-go odor discriminations. NeuroReport 13:885–890.
- Shapiro ML, Caramanos Z (1990) NMDA antagonist MK801 impairs acquisition but not performance of spatial working and reference memory. Psychobiol 18:231–243.
- Vilki J (1989) Perseveration in memory for figures after frontal lobe lesion. Neuropsychology 27:1101–1104.
- Waldman C, Güntürkün O (1993) The dopaminergic innervation of the pigeon caudolateral forebrain: immunocytochemical evidence for a "prefrontal cortex" in birds? Brain Res 600:225–234.
- Walker DL, Davis M (2002) The role of glutamate receptors within the amygdala in fear learning, fear-potentiated startle, and extinction. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 71:379–392.
- Wolf ME, Dahlin SL, Hu XT, Xue CJ, White K (1995) Effects of lesions of prefrontal cortex, amygdala, or fornix on behavioral sensitization to amphetamine: comparison with *N*-methyl-D-aspartate antagonists. Neuroscience 69:417–439.
- Wynne B, Güntürkün O (1995) Dopaminergic innervation of the telencephalon of the pigeon (*Columba livia*): a study with antibodies against tyrosine hydroxylase and dopamine. J Comp Neurol 357:446–464.
- Xu X, Davis RE (1992) N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist MK-801 impairs learning but not memory fixation or expression of classical fear conditioning in goldfish. Behavioral Neurosci 106:307–314.